Problem-Based Learning in Mathematics





Thursday 9 February, 2017 University of Technology Sydney

Sponsored by the Australian Council of Deans of Science



Venue

Grid Room, Level 5, Building 4 (CB04.05.430) University of Technology Sydney 745 Harris Street Sydney



Wifi information

If possible please use Eduroam. There will be a limited number of individual guest wifi accounts available.

Organizing Committee

A/Prof Deborah King (University of Melbourne)
Dr Anita Ponsaing (University of Melbourne)
Prof John Rice (Australian Council of Deans of Science)

Program

9:00	Registration
9:30	Introduction & Acknowledgement of Country
9:45	Opening (Brian Yates, President, ACDS)
10:00	Jean-Roch Nader & Christopher Chin, AMC
11:00	Morning Tea
11:30	PBL Workshop (Les Kirkup , UTS)
1:00	Lunch
2:00	Adelle Howse
3:00	Afternoon Tea
3:15	Dann Mallet, QUT
3:35	Di Warren , USyd
3:55	Questions
4:00	Stephen Woodcock, UTS
4:20	Tristram Alexander, UNSW Canberra
4:40	Questions
4:45	Discussion
5:15	Close

PBL Workshop

Fermi problems stimulate knowledge integration

Fermi problems offer the potential to develop students' capacities to think independently and creatively, work collectively and collaboratively, apply what they know already and connect and utilize this knowledge in a broad range of contexts. So, what is a Fermi problem, and how can it do this? In this workshop participants will actively explore Fermi problems and examine their value to the undergraduate curriculum.

Adj Prof Les Kirkup (UTS)

Les held academic positions in England and Scotland before joining UTS in 1990. He has published widely in peer-reviewed discipline and education-focused journals throughout his career and has written several textbooks. Many of Les' teaching and educational development activities have focused on



enhancing the student experience in laboratories. His contributions to teaching and learning were recognised nationally in 2007 with the award of a Carrick Associate Fellowship and again in 2011 with the award of an ALTC National Teaching Fellowship. In 2014 he was awarded the Australian Institute of Physics Education medal for his national contributions to physics education.

Keynote Talk

Industry perspectives on mathematical sciences graduates

In this talk I will give some perspectives on what attributes the corporate sector finds attractive in mathematical sciences graduates. This will be based on my own direct experience as a graduate with mathematics degrees working in both electricity, construction and mining industries as well as additional insights gathered from participating in the AMSI initiated industry and Mathematical Sciences engagement taskforce. I hope this will provide some interesting thoughts for how educators and the corporate sector can collaborate to benefit both innovation and productivity in the workforce and interesting employment for mathematical sciences graduates.

Adelle Howse

BSc (Hons), PhD (Mathematics), MBA, AAICD, FFIN

www.adellehowse.com

Adelle is a well-credentialed executive leader with a track record in the delivery of strategic business transformation, operational performance improvement, structured M&A and corporate finance solutions.



Adelle currently is her own personal business entity for consulting and contract engagements. Strategic consulting and execution with corporations and businesses on market position, mergers, acquisitions and divestments, business transformation and operational performance improvement. Current and recent assignments include the AMSI Industry Mathematical Sciences Task Force: development of a plan to build industry and education sector mathematics engagement, awareness and capability, working with blue-chip companies including Woodside, Commonwealth Bank, BHP, Google, Pay-Pal and IBM.

Adelle has performed in various roles at the CIMIC Group (previously Leighton Holdings) from 2003 and her most recent role was Chief Strategy Officer until May 2016. Prior to that Adelle has held positions at Tarong Energy, Energex, the Pelerman Group and Unilever Australasia.

Invited Talk

Dr Jean-Roch Nader & Dr Chris Chin (Australian Maritime College)

Problem-Based Learning at AMC in First Year Engineering

Several reviews have shown the inadequacy between traditional Engineering Curriculum based on the old "chalk and talk" pedagogy in universities and the technical and personal skills requirement in industry. Mills (2003) showed that the introduction of problem based learning within the curriculum as a mixed approach to be the best way to satisfy industry. This learning methods are focused on developing direct applied engineering components. They are student driven and aim at developing design, communication and team work skills as well as providing more awareness of the social, environmental, economic and legal issues of modern engineering practices. These methods are based upon group work with a number of phases which include problem identification, research, student initiative, observational skills and reflection. These approaches have seen many applications in Engineering curriculum. However, they are usually developed in mid-to-late courses where early years are designed to teach engineering fundamentals and concepts. This does pose a problem where first year students can find it difficult to relate the theoretical concepts to real world engineering problems. This has the effect to drop motivation and increase detachment.

To address these issues, a strong effort was made at NCMEH to develop a series of projects and activities applying knowledge to engineering problems in first year. These include three problem-based projects within four different units as well as outdoor activities and industry visits. Feedback from students showed that these activities have a very positive impact on student experience at AMC.

Contributed Talks

Prof Dann Mallet (Queensland University of Technology)

Problem-based learning: A whole-of-degree perspective in a Bachelor of Mathematics

In this talk I will discuss recent work around incorporating problem-based learning across the three years of a Bachelor of Mathematics curriculum. Motivating factors, including academic standards and university strategic goals, for the use of problem-based approaches will be presented and examples of how these approaches were implemented in the classroom will be described. Challenges and obstacles faced both in the classroom and in the design phases will be discussed and potential means of addressing these will also be described.

Dr Diana Warren (University of Sydney)

Choose your own adventure: Motivating students in large FY Stats Courses using PBL

While a FY Stats Course has the potential to be extremely interesting and relevant, students often report the exact opposite, especially in large compulsory service courses. In order to motivate students, we introduced a collaborative, self-directed assessment, in which students present a report on data of their own choice. This seems to result in better engagement by both students and tutors. We'll discuss the challenges, constraints, and lessons, with some exemplars.

Dr Stephen Woodcock (University of Technology Sydney)

Beyond Rote: Enquiry-Oriented Learning through Problem Based Workshops

Arguably the largest challenge facing undergraduate students majoring in quantitative disciplines is the large gulf between high school mathematics and those skills eventually required in the workplace. The differences are not just in the level and depth of the disciplinary knowledge required but, more challengingly, in the types of learning and problem-solving methods employed. Much has been

written about the rise of high school "coaching" services and the prioritising of rote learning procedures, often at the expense of developing mathematical enquiry and deeper understanding.

Here, I discuss recent developments in the curriculum at the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) for undergraduates majoring in the Mathematical Sciences. In particular, I focus on the implementation of mathematical modelling workshops for students from their very first semester. These workshops typically open with a real-world industry-inspired problem whose solution cannot be obtained by any calculation which students will have seen before. Students are then encouraged to think about the context and make reasoned approximations and assumptions to estimate which ranges of solutions might be plausible. The workshop leader can then present similar, but simplified cases of a closely related system and explain which mathematical procedures are required and, more importantly, why. Finally, students are then able to obtain a solution to the initial problem and reflect on why/whether their initial intuition was reasonable or accurate.

This structure is designed to build the confidence of students to attempt problems whose type they have perhaps not seen before, a skill often underdeveloped by heavily "coached" students.

Dr Tristram Alexander (University of New South Wales Canberra)

Findings from four iterations of a first year problem solving course

At UNSW Canberra we have been running a problem solving course for first year Engineering students for the last four years. Over the lifetime of this course we have made a number of changes, developing from a course built upon the McMaster Problem Solving program, with its explicit focus on skill development, through to a greater focus on the heuristic approach to problem solving, famously introduced in George Polya's classic work. In this talk I will give a brief overview of some of the things we have tried, and discuss what worked and what didn't. I will also identify some of the things we have learnt more generally about student problem solving approach, and the implications of student learning for the teacher. As we serviced Engineering students the course also had a specific programming component, and this brought its own challenges which I will briefly discuss.

Participants

Tristram Alexander University of New South Wales Canberra, ACT

Lyn Armstrong Western Sydney University, NSW

Sy Chan Concerige, NSW

Christopher Chin Australian Maritime College, TAS

Emily Cook Swinburne University of Technology, VIC

Wilhelmina Du Toit UTS Insearch, NSW

Antony Edwards Swinburne University of Technology, VIC

Rosei Espedido UTS Insearch, NSW Nikki Fozzard Griffith University, QLD

Garo Gabrielian Gmoney, NSW

David Gruenewald University of Sydney, NSW
Dilshara Hill Macquarie University, NSW

Adelle Howse Strategy and Transformation Executive, NSW

Trish Jelbart Victoria University, VIC
Carolyn Kennett Macquarie University, NSW

Nazim Khan University of Western Australia, WA Les Kirkup University of Technology Sydney, NSW

Amor Lynn Macalalad University of Sydney, NSW

Dann Mallet Queensland University of Technology, QLD
Margaret Marshman University of the Sunshine Coast, QLD
Jean-Roch Nader Australian Maritime College, TAS
John Nicholls Western Sydney University, NSW
Dietmar Oelz University of Queensland, QLD
Leon Poladian University of Sydney, NSW

University of Melbourne, VIC

John Rice Australian Council of Deans of Science

Malcolm Roberts University of Newcastle, NSW
Jelena Schmalz University of New England, NSW
Don Shearman Western Sydney University, NSW

Elena Sinchenko Swinburne University of Technology, VIC

Maree Skillen UTS Insearch, NSW

Frank Valckenborgh Macquarie University, NSW

Tim Walker 1Scope, NSW

Anita Ponsaing

Diana Warren University of Sydney, NSW

Stephen Weissenhofer Western Sydney University, NSW
Stephen Woodcock University of Technology Sydney, NSW

Brian Yates President, Australian Council of Deans of Science

Shona Yu Western Sydney University, NSW